

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/702,549	11/07/2003	Jae-Hong Kim	2557-000187/US 8217		
30593 7	7590 12/16/2004 EXAMINER		INER		
HARNESS, D	DICKEY & PIERCE, I	TRINH, HOA B			
P.O. BOX 8910 RESTON, VA 20195			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	-
1201011, 111	20170		2814		

DATE MAILED: 12/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			M		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	10/702,549	KIM ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Vikki H Trinh	2814			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<b></b> .				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			•		
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6-10 and 16-20</u> is/are	withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 11-15</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	•			
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ГО-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents		-(d) or (f).			
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li></ol>	s have been received in Applicati	on No			
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ol>	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage		
application from the International Bureau	` ' ' '				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite	2.450)		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1204</u> .	5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	atent Application (PTC	J-152)		
	· — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

Application/Control Number: 10/702,549

Art Unit: 2814

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 6-10 and 16-20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected GroupII, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on Oct. 27, 2004.

It is noted that claims 6-10 were directed to a method of Group II. However, claims 6-10 were inadvertently placed in Group I directing to a device.

2. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-5 and 11-15 in the reply filed on Oct. 27, 2004, is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that applicant's leads are inner and outer leads. This is not found persuasive because the device as claimed can be made with another materially different process such that the process includes the steps of mounting the inner leads to size in a lead frame, instead of simultaneously cutting the inner leads and the locking tape.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 5, 11, and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tanabe (JP 08037276 A).

Application/Control Number: 10/702,549 Page 3

Art Unit: 2814

As to claim 1 and 11, Tanabe discloses a device having a lead frame with leads 2 (abstract), a plurality of inner leads 2 formed on the leadframe body; and a locking tape 9 (abstract) being adhered to tips of the inner leads 2 (abstract), whereby the locking tape 9 and the inner leads 2 are cut off together (abstract)

As to claims 2 and 15, the inner leads 2 (abstract) are made using a stamping (punching) process. Note that the term "punching" carries the same meaning as the word as the word "stamping".

As to claims 3 and 14, the leadframe body is a lead-on-chip (LOC) type. See abstract.

As to claims 5 and 13, the locking tape 9 (abstract) is one-sided tape having an adhesive on one side thereof, the side having adhesive is being adhered to the inner leads 2 (abstract).

5. Claims 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chan et al. (6,236,107) (hereinafter Chan).

As to claims 11 and 12, Chan discloses a LOC device having a lead frame 10 (fig. 3) with leads 75 (fig. 3) and a locking tape 20 being doubled sided (col. 2, line 55), whereby the tape 20 ends at each end of the leads (fig. 3).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2814

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 8. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanabe, as applied to claim 1, in view of Chan et al. (6,236,107) (hereinafter Chan).

Tanabe discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Tanabe does not explicitly teach that the tape is a "double-sided" tape.

Chan discloses an LOC device having a double-sided tape 20 (fig. 3) adhered to the leads 75 (fig. 3) of the lead frame 10 (fig. 3).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Tanabe with a double-sided tape, as taught by Chan, so as to provide the adhesion being on both sides of the tape.

Art Unit: 2814

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Vikki Trinh whose telephone number is (571) 272-1719. The Examiner can normally be reached from Monday-Friday, 9:00 AM - 5:30 PM Eastern Time. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Mr. Wael Fahmy, can be reached at (571) 272-1705. The office fax number is 703-872-9306.

Any request for information regarding to the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Also, status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public Pair. In addition, status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. If you have questions pertaining to the Private PAIR system, please contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).

Lastly, paper copies of cited U.S. patents and U.S. patent application publications will cease to be mailed to applicants with Office actions as of June 2004. Paper copies of foreign patents and non-patent literature will continue to be included with office actions. These cited U.S. patents and patent application publications are available for download via the Office's PAIR. As an alternate source, all U.S. patents and patent application publications are available on the USPTO web site (www.uspto.gov), from the Office of Public Records and from commercial sources. Applicants are referred to the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at <a href="http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html">http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html</a> or 1-866-217-9197 for information on this policy. Requests

Art Unit: 2814

to restart a period for response due to a missing U.S. patent or patent application publications will not be granted.

Vikki Trinh, Patent Examiner AU 2814

HOAI PHAM PRIMARY EXAMINER